



Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/238,510	01/27/9	9 DITCH		J	60578-0154	
Γ	-		DM00.40C1E	. 7. 1	EXAMINER		
	PM82/0615 DICKINSON WRIGHT MOON VAN MUSEN & FREEMAN			· · {	GORDON, S ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	1901 L STREET NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20036		·		3612	4	
				· · · •	DATE MAILED:	: 06/15/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Otting Agrica Cummon	09/238,510	Pitch	etal					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit ろんハ					
	Cordon	Goldon						
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—								
Period for Response		ſ						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). 								
Status								
Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
☐ This action is FINAL.								
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
Claim(s) 1-6+23-27		is/are pending in the application.		cation.				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.						
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are re	ejected.						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are o	bjected to.						
(S) 1-6 + 27-27		are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers		require	mont.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved	i.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)								
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)								
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).								
*Certified copies not received:								
Attachment(s)								
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 🗆 In	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413						
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other						
Office Action Summary								

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Application/Control Number: 09/238,510

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a vehicle with flip up seats and wheelchair securement, classified in class 296, subclass 65.04.
 - II. Claims 23-24, drawn to a subcombination securing apparatus, classified in class 248, subclass 499.
 - III. Claim 25, drawn to a method of loading a vehicle, classified in class 414, subclass 812.
 - IV. Claim 26, drawn to a system for securing a wheelchair in a vehicle, classified in class 410, subclass 96.
 - V. Claim 27, drawn to a wheelchair securement arrangement in a vehicle, classified in class 410, subclass 7.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least a bar per se is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as means for tying down a load on a static structure e.g. a warehouse shelf.

Application/Control Number: 09/238,510 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

Inventions III and (I,II,IV, and V) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different method such as one wherein at least a movable element per se is not moved from a storage to a use position after positioning a wheelchair..

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least four straps per se are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a vehicle other than a passenger vehicle per se with flip up seats.
- 5. Inventions I and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least first

Application/Control Number: 09/238,510 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

and second housings per se are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a vehicle other than a passenger vehicle per se with flip up seats.

- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least a bar per se is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as means for tying down a load on a static structure e.g. a warehouse shelf.
- 7. Inventions V and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least mounting means allowing movement between a condition wherein a strap is locked as specifically recited is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as means for tying down a load on a static structure e.g. a warehouse shelf.
- 8. Inventions V and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has

Application/Control Number: 09/238,510 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least four straps per se are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system not requiring a specific wheelchair securement area per se and/or the use of housings as such.

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: movable element species as defined in fig 3 vs fig 4 vs fig 7 vs fig 8A.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/238,510

Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

12. Due to the complexity of the above restriction/election, this requirement is being submitted to applicant in written form to allow applicant sufficient time to address the issues raised herein.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

-	
Application/Control Number: 09/238,510	
Art Unit: 3612	

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556.

STEPHEN T. GORDON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7

stg

June 13, 2000